

**-Chlorpyrifos court decision**

Regions provided an update to their states on the court decision and there were no significant discussions. Regions mentioned some states use their authority to further regulate chlorpyrifos.

**-COVID PPE memo extension**

Regions made states aware of the memo. Feedback to Regions was SLAs appreciating the flexibility. There were issues with fit testing availability in 2020, but situation seems to have improved somewhat in 2021.

**-COVID-19 related: Section 18; Section 3; etc.**

+Overall, Regions feel states understood the changes to Section 18 process even with some being impacted by the changes.

+States raised concerns to the Regions with labeling being used by sub-registrants and want to encourage EPA to do more communicating with registrants on their responsibilities of their sub-registrants.

+Regions also hearing that States have been dealing with a lot of device issues.

**-PFAS in pesticides packaging**

Regions are hearing States want to be kept up to date on the situation due to the potential scope of the issue. Concerns expressed to Regions not just on packaging, but the need for focus on the intersection with water quality and available expertise. More communication needed with state DEPs. Good example is planning for summer spraying of mosquitos by DEP; what products to use and what is the availability. Some States legislatures are proposing actions on PFAS which is generating significant effort for the SLAs in those States.

**-Dicamba**

States are adjusting. One did indicate they were still planning to submit an application to extend the cut-off date. Seems overall, regions and states remain interested in risk assessment and labeling changes due to the broad impacts of the decision.

**-C&T- concerns from a Regional perspective**

+Regions expressed general concern about the level of detail required in the plans. The outline/template provided to States did not cover some of these areas, so there is some surprise on the part of the SLAs. Specific items brought up include the implementation of the plan within two years of plan approval, and the explanations needed on the rigor of certification and recertification programs. Regions are aware of push back from States and HQ is already working on addressing these concerns.

+Several Regions brought up the ambitious HQ review schedule and are in support of the workshare effort in order to deal with the workload. Several Regions mentioned the time that will remain for the States to work on their plans after receiving the HQ official detailed review is causing anxiety, especially for those States with long legislative processes.

+As previously brought up by the Regions, States have concerns on the coming changes needed to their programs and the resources they will have to accomplish those changes, such as reviewing & editing manuals & exams and outreach to stakeholders.

**-Any other topics/Issues papers from regional Pre-SFIREG meetings**

EPA Regions Report, Courtenay Hoernemann, EPA Region 3  
June 7, 2021

One Region provided feedback on marijuana: In the states that have made marijuana legal in some form, the states have been addressing pesticide related issues including use of unregistered products and run-off. States asked to be kept in the loop better on enforcement actions – this is difficult especially when it is referred outside the Region.